| | Ref. No. | CS1 | RAG |
|-----------|--|---|-----|
| | Site name | Joblands – Plough Wents Road (part of CS2) | |
| Site Info | rmation | | |
| | Site location | Plough Wents Road | + |
| | | 0.5 ha | |
| | Grid Reference | 579462, 150387 | |
| | MBC Ref. | N/A | |
| | MBC Assessment (if relevant) | N/A | |
| | Landowner | Mr and Mrs S Tomkins | + |
| | Agent/ Developer | Alpha Developments Kent Ltd/ Consilium Town Planning | + |
| | Type of development proposed | Residential | + |
| | Proposed yield | 9 dwellings | + |
| | Greenfield/ PDL | Greenfield | + |
| | | | |
| Site Ass | essment / Suitability | | |
| | Site description | | |
| | | Paddock Land. Former Agricultural Land. Site is level with no undulations. Existing residential properties on all boundaries of the site. Mature trees and vegetation on boundary of the site. | |
| | Current use | Agricultural (vacant) | |
| | Surrounding land uses | Residential | |
| | Planning/ other designations | Within the core of the village | |
| | Site planning history | N/a | |
| | Landscape/ townscape impact - including reference to Landscape Character Assessment 2012 (in.c long distance views); cumulative landscape impact; existing screening | The Landscape Character Study identifies that the site is partially within the Boughton Monchelsea to Chart Sutton Plateau landscape character area, which forms a part of the Greensand Orchards and Mixed Farmlands landscape character type. The overall condition of the area is considered to be Very Poor, and the sensitivity to be Very Low, with an overall recommendation to Improve. The Landscape Character Study identifies that the site is partially within the Farleigh Greensand Fruit Belt landscape character area, which forms a part of the Greensand Orchards and Mixed Farmlands landscape character type. The overall condition of the area is considered to be Good, and the sensitivity to be High, with an overall recommendation to Conserve. | , |

| Ecological impacts (inc. SSSI & local wildlife sites within or adjacent to the site) | No designated ecological sites, however further studies needed to ascertain biodiversity features and value. There are a number of hedgerows on the site and potential for ecology. | |
|--|--|--|
| Trees (inc. TPO, ancient woodland within and adjacent to the site) | None of particular importance | |
| Agricultural land quality | Grade 2 | |
| Heritage impacts (listed building, conservation area) | No nearby designated assets | |
| Archaeology | Potential for multi period archaeology associated with early settlement of Chart Sutton and site surrounded by historic designated buildings. Further work needed to verify - Predetermination assessment needed to clarify impact of proposal on historic designated buildings. | |
| PROW (within or near site) | None | |
| Access (Highways) - Site access - Impact on the wider highway network - Access to strategic/main highway | The access to the site will be to the side of the house as shown on the plan leading onto Plough Wents Road. Width will need checking with KCC. Vehicle access off Plough Wents Road. | |
| network - Availability of public transport/ walking/ cycling | Cycle / pedestrian: along Plough Wents Road to Chart Sutton. No pavements currently. Potential to explore installing pavement along land owned by KCC but gets very narrow towards the junction and no discussions with KCC have taken place yet. | |
| Access to services: | | |
| Bus stop | 340m (Arriva bus services 14, 66,89) | |
| Railway station | Staplehurst/ Maidstone | |
| Shop | 295m | |
| GP | Sutton Valence / Maidstone | |
| School (Primary / Secondary) | Sutton Valence / Maidstone | |
| Village Hall | 615m | |
| Recreation Ground | 890m | |
| Air quality/ noise | No significant issues | |
| Land contamination | Not expected. | |
| Flood risk (zone/ drainage) | Not within Flood Zone. No known drainage issues, but will need confirmation. Discharge via infiltration; will need confirmation of ground conditions and layout will need to accommodate suitable separation from soakaways | |

| | Impacts on existing residential amenity (including access to open space) | Buffering required at the southern end of the site to protect local amenity of houses along Amber Lane. | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| | Utilities | Likely to be achievable | |
| | Suitability assessment | Suitable | |
| AVAILABILITY | | | |
| | Is site landowner willing to submit site for development? | Yes | |
| | Are there any legal or ownership problems? | No | |
| | Availability conclusion | Available | |
| TIMING | | | |
| | Is there a timeframe for availability? | within 12 months of a formal planning application | |
| VISION/ C | BJECTIVES OF THE NEIGHBOURHOOD | PLAN | |
| | Does the site have the potential to provide improved public parking? | No | |
| | Does the site have the potential to support commercial activities, including start-ups? | No | |
| | Does the site intrude into distant key vistas from viewpoints in the parish? | No | |
| | Will the site bring other community benefits? | No additional community benefits specified. | |
| | To what extent would you be prepared to deliver against local housing need? | Potential to deliver some affordable housing units at the discretion of the owner and subject to viability. | |
| | Will the biodiversity net gain be delivered on site? | Two areas on the drawings for Biodiversity Net Gain these are within the blue line on the plan. | |
| | Can the site deliver the 35 dwellings required by the LPR? | No - would need to be allocated in combination with one or more sites. | |
| ACHIEVA | BILITY | | |

| | Identification of any abnormal costs or other constraints to development which would prevent or delay this site being delivered | Not expected | |
|-------------|---|---|--|
| | Achievability conclusion | Achievable | |
| CONCLUSIONS | | The site is well located within the core of the village, although the southern boundary backs on to existing housing, so will need to be buffered. The site is not sufficiently large to deliver the full quantum of homes required (35 units) so would need to be allocated in combination with another site or sites. There is no requirement for the site to bring forward affordable homes; this would be at the discretion of the owner. There is limited footpath access along Plough Wents Road. Whilst there is a verge that could be utilised, this is owned by KCC and would need to be scoped. The verge narrows significantly as it reaches the junction with Chart Corner. | |
| | | Initial conclusion: Do not shortlist as a standalone site. Consider as part of Site CS2. Unlikely to come forward in combination with other smaller sites due to the conclusions of the other smaller sites. | |