	Ref. No.	CS4	RAG
	Site name	Land adjoining Boughton Orchard	
Site Info	ormation		
	Site location	Back Lane, Chart Sutton, Maidstone, ME17 3SJ	
	Gross area (ha)	0.4 ha	
	Grid Reference	578815, 150382	
	MBC Ref.	n/a	
	MBC Assessment (if		
	relevant)	n/a	
	Landowner		
	Agent/ Developer		
		Consilium Town Planning Services Ltd./ Alpha Developments Ltd.	
	Type of development		
	proposed	Residential	
	Proposed yield	Approximately 6 dwellings	
	Greenfield/ PDL	Greenfield	
Site Ass	sessment / Suitability		
	Site description	Former agricultural land on north side of Back Lane near junction	
		with Brishing Road. Site is level with mature hedge line along	
		boundary and trees. There are two large properties located adjacent	
		to the site.	
	Current use	Garden land/ paddock	
	Surrounding land uses		
		Open countryside with scattering of existing houses	
	Planning/ other		
	designations	N/a	
	Site planning history	N/a	
	Landscape/ townscape	The site is located towards the western edge of the parish boundary	
	impact - including	and is disconnected from the core of the village itself. The site is	
	reference to Landscape	effectively the garden/land surrounding the property here.	
	Character Assessment	Developing six dwellings on the site would be in contrast to the few	
	2012 (in.c long distance	large properties located here currently and would be fairly high	
	views); cumulative	density for this countryside setting.	
	landscape impact;	Landscape Character Area Assessment:	
	existing screening	- Plateau above the Greensand Ridge	
		- Sweet chestnut coppice woodland	
		- Orchards	
		- Arable land	
		·	
		- Grid like road pattern	
		- Consider the general guidelines for Greensand Orchards and	
		Mixed Farmlands	
		- Conserve and improve the extent of woodland cover	
		- Improve hedgerows and therefore habitat connectivity by gapping	
		up where practicable	
		- Improve the sense of place by maintaining remaining open space	
		between swathes of development	
	Ecological impacts (inc.	No designated ecological sites, however further studies needed to	
	SSSI & local wildlife		
		hedgerows that surround the site, and it is intended that these be	
	to the site)	retained. Further studies needed.	
		 Conserve and improve the extent of woodland cover Improve hedgerows and therefore habitat connectivity by gapping up where practicable Improve the sense of place by maintaining remaining open space between swathes of development No designated ecological sites, however further studies needed to ascertain biodiversity features and value. There are a number of hedgerows that surround the site, and it is intended that these be 	

	Trace (inc. TDO engiant		
	Trees (inc. TPO, ancient		
	woodland within and		
	adjacent to the site)		
		No significant trees on site.	
	Agricultural land quality		
		Grade 2	
	Heritage impacts (listed	Old Amber Green Farmhouse (Grade II) listed is located 100m from	
	building, conservation	the site.	
	area)		
	Archaeology	Further work needed to verify	
	PROW (within or near	None.	
	site)		
	Access (Highways)	Access would be off Back Lane. This is a very narrow lane with no	
	- Site access	pavements and the speed limit here is 60mph.	
	- Impact on the wider		
	highway network		
	- Access to		
	strategic/main highway		
	network		
	- Availability of public		
	transport/ walking/		
	cycling		
	Access to services:		
	Bus stop	400m (Arriva bus services 14, 66,89)	
	Railway station	Staplehurst/ Maidstone	
	Shop	840m	
	GP	Sutton Valence / Maidstone	
	School (Primary /	Sutton Valence / Maidstone	
	Secondary)	Outton valence / Walastone	
	Village Hall	1km	
	Recreation Ground	1.35km	
	Air quality/ noise	No significant issues	
	• •	<u> </u>	
	Land contamination	Not expected.	
	Flood risk (zone/ drainag	Not within Flood Zone. No known drainage issues, but will need confirmation.	
	Impacts on existing	Minimal impact. Hedgerows to be retained where possible.	
	residential amenity		
	(including access to		
	open space)		
	Utilities	Likely to be achievable	
	Suitability assessment	the site is disconnected from the village core and is located	
		along a fast narrow rural lane with no footpaths. It is not	
		considered suitable for development	
AVAILA	BILITY		
	Is site landowner willing		
	to submit site for		
	development?	Yes	
	Are there any legal or		
	ownership problems?	No	
	Availability conclusion	Available	
	-		
TIMING			
	ı		

	le thoro a timoframe for a		
		Commencement within 12 months of planning permission.	
		Completion with 12-18 months of planning permission.	
VISION/	OBJECTIVES OF THE NE	IGHBOURHOOD PLAN	
	Does the site have the		
	potential to provide		
	improved public		
	•		
	parking?	No	
	Does the site have the		
	potential to support		
	commercial activities,		
	· ·		
	including start-ups?	No	
	Does the site intrude		
	into distant key vistas		
	from viewpoints in the		
	<u>-</u>		
	parish?	Potentially visible from the Greensand Ridge.	
	Will the site bring other	No	
	community benefits?		
	To continue to the state of the		
	To what extent would	Owner prepared to consider delivering a modest number (1-2 units)	
	you be prepared to	of affordable/ smaller homes.	
	deliver against local		
	housing need?		
	Mill the big diversity not	DNC can be delivered an eite	
	Will the biodiversity net	BNG can be delivered on site.	
	gain be delivered on		
	site?		
	Can the site deliver the		
	35 dwellings required by		
	the LPR?	No, but could be considered in combination with other sites.	
ACHIEV	ABILITY		
	Identification of any	Not expected	
	abnormal costs or other	That expected	
	constraints to		
	development which		
	would prevent or delay		
	this site being delivered		
	Achievability conclusion		
		Achievable	
CONCL	LISIONS	The cite is leasted at some distance from the some of the village	
CONCL	USIONS	The site is located at some distance from the core of the village,	
		along a fast, narrow rural lane. It is some distance from the village	
		amenities. The site would not deliver the number of units required by	
		MBC (35) so would need to be allocated in combination with one or	
		more other sites. The pattern of development in this part of the	
		parish is low density larger homes with large gardens/ land	
		attached. It is unlikely to be shortlisted due to the lack of sustainable	
		location.	
		Initial conclusion: Do not shortlist due to unsustainable	
		location, detached from the village and local amenities.	
	l .	nooding a diagnost in the vinage and local amenities.	